



EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE

Master Planning Minutes

January 7, 2015

4:00-6:00 PM

Conference Room, PCSD District Office

Members Present: Moe Hickey, Todd Hauber, Jamie Sheetz, Todd Hansen, Tania Knauer, Mark Parker, Sean Morgan, Rory Murphy, Bob O'Connor, Tom Van Gorder, Ember Conley, and Lorie Pearce

1. PCCAPS Student presentation- Solar program for PCHS (15 min)

Students from the PCCAPS Program presented information on possible solar systems for the district.

2. Discuss Public Meeting Process- Rory M.

Sean discussed the meeting process and the fact that we want to make sure that the meetings are very productive and all thoughts and comments are considered.

Rory explained that because this process is starting to get some attention people are going to want to have their comments and thoughts addressed.

Rory is making three suggestions as we move forward:

Develop a public participation process

Include Park City Education Foundation to the committee or to the stakeholder group

Adopt a protocol for approving minutes of the meetings

Sean said that every time something is said in the minutes there should be a next step and a timeline. The new process will be for the committee to have a copy of the minutes following the meeting. Each member will then have the opportunity to review the minutes, and add comments. Once all comments are gathered, and approved by the committee as a whole, then the final minutes will be posted for public view.

Todd Hauber explained the difference between an open and closed meeting with guidelines to posting agendas and minutes. Rory and Sean want to make sure that this process is transparent.

Superintendent Conley made a motion to have the minutes delayed and available for the committee members to read and approve prior to posting. Mark Parker seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously.

Mark Parker made a motion to invite Abby McNulty to the meetings. Bob O'Connor seconded the motion.

Questions: Tania Knauer asked if the District PTO President should be involved in the meetings. Further discussion about whether or not PTO and PCEF should be added to the core committee, or part of the stakeholder group.

Mark Parker amended his motion to invite the District PTO President and Abbey McNulty to the stakeholder group and invite them to attend as needed. Bob O'Connor seconded the motion.

Continued discussion about committee members and the stakeholder groups. Todd Hauber made a motion to table this motion. Tania Knauer seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously.

Discussion on open and closed meetings continued. This will be tabled until the next meeting.

Superintendent Conley made a motion that the district sets up the website with a central email that all comments will be sent to. Tania Knauer seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously.

Tania is suggesting that the rules of engagement and protocol be addressed in a one page document for everyone to understand how the group functions.

3. Discuss District Boundary & Grade Re-alignment Issues (30 min)- Rory M.

Rory believes that there are two major issues that need to be addressed before the group can move on. The first one is the district boundary, and the second is grade realignment.

Rory asked if there is any support towards expanding the boundaries of Park City School District? This is a discussion that needs to happen with the neighboring districts and while Moe has tried to set up a meeting, nothing has happened to date. Moe and Tania will engage the other district in a conversation at the USBA meeting on Friday.

Bob stated that with the grade realignment, it would alleviate the need to build a new elementary school. Moving to a 7-8 school for Treasure would help to separate middle school students from high school age students. Elementary would be PK-4, Ecker Hill 5-6, Treasure 7-8 and the High School 9-12.

Ember said that with the move toward a full day kindergarten, grade realignment would help with space at the elementary schools. This model would also move the district to two start times.

Tom wants to discuss pros and cons of realignment at the next meeting, so that the committee can make a recommendation to the Board.

Mark would like information from the last Grade Realignment Committee to determine what worked and what didn't so that the Master Planning Committee is prepared as they move forward.

Todd is suggesting that public input be sought before a grade re-alignment goes before the Board for recommendation.

Moe is making the recommendation the Ember, Tom and Bob make a presentation to this committee at the next meeting discussing the pros and cons.

Meeting adjourned at 5:57 pm

Next meeting:
Grade Realignment

Items not discussed at the 1-7-15 meeting:

- 4. Discuss/Refine Facility Needs & Relationships (30-45 min)- Sean M.**
- 5. Discuss Facilities Planner RFP scope, timeline and next steps- Sean M.**
- 6. Discuss Architect RFP scope, timeline and next steps- Sean M.**
- 7. Discuss Public Communications RFP scope, timeline and next steps- Sean M.**
- 8. Discuss strategy for Stakeholder and Public involvement- Rory M.**
- 9. Discuss School Board meeting Schedule and recommendations- Sean M.**

10. Committee Meeting Schedule-

- a. Thurs, 1/15 (4-6pm)
- b. Weds, 1/21 (4-6pm)
- c. Weds, 1/28 (4-6pm)